ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Oral Nirmatrelvir–Ritonavir as Postexposure Prophylaxis for Covid-19

Jennifer Hammond, Ph.D., Carla Yunis, M.D., Robert J. Fountaine, Pharm.D., Gerald Luscan, M.S., Aimee M. Burr, M.S., Wuyan Zhang, Ph.D., Wayne Wisemandle, M.A., Holly Soares, Ph.D., Mary Lynn Baniecki, Ph.D., Victoria M. Hendrick, B.Sc., Veselin Kalfov, M.D., Rienk Pypstra, M.D., and James M. Rusnak, M.D., Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Clinical trials of treatments for coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) have not shown a significant benefit of postexposure prophylaxis.

METHODS

We conducted a phase 2–3 double-blind trial to assess the efficacy and safety of nirmatrelvir–ritonavir in asymptomatic, rapid antigen test–negative adults who had been exposed to a household contact with Covid-19 within 96 hours before randomization. The participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive nirmatrelvir–ritonavir (300 mg of nirmatrelvir and 100 mg of ritonavir) every 12 hours for 5 days or for 10 days or matching placebo for 5 or 10 days. The primary end point was the development of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) infection, confirmed on reverse-transcriptase–polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) or rapid antigen testing, through 14 days in participants who had a negative RT-PCR test at baseline.

RESULTS

A total of 2736 participants were randomly assigned to a trial group — 921 to the 5-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group, 917 to the 10-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group, and 898 to the placebo group. Symptomatic, confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection developed by day 14 in 2.6% of the participants in the 5-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group, 2.4% of those in the 10-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group, and 3.9% of those in the placebo group. In each nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group, the percentage of participants in whom symptomatic, confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection developed did not differ significantly from that in the placebo group, with risk reductions relative to placebo of 29.8% (95% confidence interval [CI], –16.7 to 57.8; P=0.17) in the 5-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group and 35.5% (95% CI, –11.5 to 62.7; P=0.12) in the 10-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir group. The incidence of adverse events was similar across the trial groups, with dysgeusia being the most frequently reported adverse event (in 5.9% and 6.8% of the participants in the 5-day and 10-day nirmatrelvir–ritonavir groups, respectively, and in 0.7% of those in the placebo group).

CONCLUSIONS

In this placebo-controlled trial, postexposure prophylaxis with nirmatrelvir–ritonavir for 5 or 10 days did not significantly reduce the risk of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. (Funded by Pfizer; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT05047601.)

From Global Product Development, Pfizer, Collegeville, PA (J.H.); Global Product Development, Pfizer, Lake Mary (C.Y.), and Global Product Development, Pfizer, Tampa (J.M.R.) — both in Florida; Global Product Development, Pfizer, Groton, CT (R.J.F., H.S.); Global Product Development, Pfizer International Organization, Paris (G.L.); Pfizer, Chicago (A.M.B.); Global Product Development, Pfizer, Lake Forest, IL (W.Z., W.W.); Early Clinical Development, Pfizer, Cambridge, MA (M.L.B.); Medical and Safety, Pfizer Research and Development UK, Sandwich, United Kingdom (V.M.H.); Specialized Hospital for Active Treatment of Pneumo-Phthisiatric Diseases, Haskovo, Bulgaria (V.K.); and Global Product Development, Pfizer, New York (R.P.). Dr. Hammond can be contacted at jennifer.hammond@pfizer.com or at Global Product Development, Pfizer, 500 Arcola Rd., Collegeville, PA 19426.

N Engl J Med 2024;391:224-34.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2309002
Copyright © 2024 Massachusetts Medical Society.